

Synthetic Terror: Real Death and Destruction

We interrupt the regularly scheduled *1984* series to address the London bombings:

On July 7th London was rocked by subway and bus bombings.

This disaster is all the more unfortunate because, despite the deaths and injuries, this event has the same stench of government prior knowledge and stand-down as did the 9-11 attack on the United States and the 3-11 attack on Madrid, Spain.

Why would governments allow these events to happen?

To further reign in the freedom of their citizens and to frighten them to the extent that they will plead for a martial presence in their countries.

Every nation must hand over their liberty as a prelude to the creation of a totalitarian global rule, which will offer the guarantee of peace, not as the product of a harmonious world, but through the eradication of all opposition and dissent to the ruling elite.

As for the prior knowledge:

The London disaster occurred exactly a month after the British intelligence agency MI5 downgraded the terror alert from “severe general” to “substantial.”

The question needs to be asked: Why weren't London and other British cities placed under a severe-general alert when, two days earlier, the northern British city of Sheffield was abuzz with rumors of bomb threats—one of which turned out to be real.

Mainstream media did not cover the story of the Sheffield bomb threats, though the British Broadcasting Company (BBC) reported on July 5th that “The centre of Sheffield came to a standstill during rush hour traffic on Tuesday after a suspect bag was found outside official buildings.”

The report further stated: “Army bomb disposal units were called to Bridge Street after a package was found near Home Office buildings and the police headquarters in the city.

“A 100m cordon was put around the site with main bus routes and roads closed.

“Police said the city was ‘gridlocked’ for two hours with diversions in place.”

British journalist Paul Joseph Watson added: “A number of sources in different areas of the city have confirmed to this writer that all Sheffield buses were delayed for an hour or more as they were sent back to the depot to be checked for bombs. This occurred early Tuesday evening, less than 48 hours before the London attack.”

Yet no heightened security was implemented anywhere in the United Kingdom.

There is also another troubling incident that occurred before the London bombings—one that has an unsettling similarity to what took place before the World Trade Tower crashes.

The Associated Press, Fox, and other news agencies reported that Israeli finance Minister Binyamin Netanyahu, in London for the G-8 summit, received a warning prior to the first explosion that he should remain in his hotel room and not attend a meeting that was to be held in a building adjacent to the site that would later be the scene of the first bombing.

It was reported that Scotland Yard passed on this warning to the Israeli embassy, yet British citizens were told nothing except that, for an hour after the fact, the blasts were due to electrical failures.

This Israeli prior knowledge is reminiscent of the bizarre event that took place in Liberty State Park, New Jersey, on 9-11.

The September 12th, 2001, edition of the *Bergen Record* reported:

“Eight hours after terrorists struck Manhattan's tallest skyscrapers, police in Bergen County detained five men who they said were found carrying maps linking them to the blasts. The five men, who were in a van stopped on Route 3 in East Rutherford around 4:30 p.m., were being questioned by police but had not been charged with any crime late Tuesday.

“Sources close to the investigation said the men said they were Israeli tourists, but police had not been able to confirm their identities

“‘We got an alert to be on the lookout for a white Chevrolet van with New Jersey registration and writing on the side,’ said Bergen County Police Chief John Schmidig. ‘Three individuals were seen celebrating in Liberty State Park after the impact. They said three people were jumping up and down.’”

Two employees of Odigo, an Israeli instant messaging service with an office in the WTC, were warned two hours before the attack occurred. They did not go into work and notified their company’s management of the warning after the attack took place.

These accounts do not necessarily mean Israel had any role in the London and New York attacks, buy they clearly demonstrate prior knowledge was out there in the public.

But if Scotland Yard knew, why didn’t it or any other British intelligence or law enforcement agencies act?

As supposedly happened in America on 9-11, the reason for inaction was that a terrorist exercise was already taking place.

Is this what prevented a response from London authorities? They stood-own believing a drill was taking place that day?

The evening of the attack, BBC Radio 5 interviewed Peter Power, managing director of a crisis-management consultant company. Power, a former Scotland Yard official who had worked with the anti-terrorist branch, stated that at the exact same time that the London bombings were taking place, his company was running a 1,000-person strong exercise simulating a bombing in the London subway system at the same locations and times.

“At half past nine this morning,” Power said, “we were actually running an exercise . . . in London based on simultaneous bombs going off precisely at the railway stations where it happened this morning, so I still have the hairs on the back of my neck standing up right now.”

The coincidence of holding an exercise the same day as a real terror event is, at the least, eerie.

This is the same excuse North American Aerospace Defense Command (NORAD) used for not reacting to the strange behavior of a number of planes in the Northeast on 9-11. Air traffic controllers and jet fighter units across the country were acting—or not acting—with the understanding that a drill was underway.

However, there’s a problem with this defense: After the first real event occurs, or even another, when do you start reacting to what in the world is going on?

Former New York City mayor Rudolph Guiliani, who just happened to be in Yorkshire at the time of the London attacks, added to the uncanny coincidences of 9-11 by testifying to the 9-11 Commission that a terror exercise had been planned for the day after the real attacks.

“On September 12,” Giuliani said, “Pier 92 was going to have a drill, it had hundreds of people here, from FEMA, from the Federal Government, from the State, from the State Emergency Management Office.”

How convenient that so many rescue outfits were in the Manhattan and able to respond, given that if they weren’t there already, it would have been a logistical nightmare for them to access the chaos that was New York.

But what do you think the odds are that not one, not two, not three, but four terror exercises would be held on and after the day of an actual terror event?

Yeah, it's probably just a coincidence.

Vyzygoth is a radio host persona. His identity is available by request at the news office. Send correspondence to vyzygoth@ij.net or readznews@aol.com. His radio show, "From The Grassy Knoll," streams Fridays 11 pm – 1 am on pascoradio.org. Archived shows can be accessed at vyzygoth.com.