

## An ill draft that blows no good

While those running for Federal offices are telling you how great they are, and while the mainstream news agencies are censored, nobody seems to be informing you that the draft legislation drawn up in 2003 has undergone a second revision.

The legislation once known as the “Universal Military Service Act of 2003” has since been re-titled twice across two years as the “Universal National Service Act of 2005” and “Universal National Service Act of 2006.”

In the never-ending campaign to psychologically manipulate the masses, the spinmeisters hired by our corrupt government thought it best to get rid of that nasty word *military* and replace it with that warmer, fuzzier term *national*.

It was stated that the proposed act was sponsored by Democrats to—putting it bluntly—stick a conscription military up the cheeks of Donnie Rumsfeld in protest of the way the Department of Defense was prosecuting the Middle East conflicts.

Wait a minute! Who cares what the justification is? It still comes out the same way: Americans are going to get drafted.

Along the same twisted thinking that informs us the Democrats are using this merely as a threat (and what good is a threat unless you’re prepared to make good on it?), some brainiacs indicate the presence of this legislation is just a tactic, that it will be withdrawn before it leaves the House.

Okay, then, why is the legislation continually being updated? Why not just let the first draft hang around? Why keep tweaking it?

You can figure that one out for yourself.

Now we have the Universal National Service Act of 2006.

And this bill, known currently as H.R. 4752, is troubling for a number of reasons.

But, first, read the bill’s summary as of Feb. 14, 2006:

“Universal National Service Act of 2006 - Declares that it is the obligation of every U.S. citizen, and every other person residing in the United States, between the ages of 18 and 42 to perform a two-year period of national service, unless exempted, either as a member of an active or reserve component of the armed forces or in a civilian capacity that promotes national defense. Requires induction into national service by the President. Sets forth provisions governing: (1) induction deferments, postponements, and exemptions, including exemption of a conscientious objector from military service that includes combatant training; and (2) discharge following national service.

“Amends the Military Selective Service Act to authorize the military registration of females.”

Well, it had to catch your eye that the upper end of the target age of this bill was 42 years. You think that’s crazy? It’s not.

I’ve written in past columns that we are heading into a Fourth Reich in which, as it was in Germany, everybody is going to be forced into volunteer work.

Watch that age limit get lifted even higher in time to come.

So, if you are beyond the preferred combat age bracket—which was 18-25 as designated in the original two versions of this act—you’ll either be forced into volunteering, or quite possibly have your reserve duty extended indefinitely.

A listener to my radio show, who is also a naval reserve fighter pilot, told me that a 54-year-old acquaintance of his has been sent to Iraq to fly choppers.

As far as the forced volunteering (an apparent oxymoron) goes, I have written before about the little known organization Americorps (<http://americorp.gov>), which right now is strictly volunteer. It won't be if the draft legislation is passed into law.

And the reason I write that is based on the bill's proposal statement that reads:

“To provide for the common defense by requiring all persons in the United States, including women, between the ages of 18 and 42 to perform a period of military service or a period of civilian service in furtherance of the national defense and homeland security, and for other purposes.”

Americorps will be a combination of The Bund and Hitler Youth. All this for our defense and Homeland Security or, more likely, Der Foderland.

Isn't the “for other purposes” phrase really interesting, too?

The bill also stipulates that females are compelled to serve.

Say goodbye to your darling daughters.

And, if you refer back to the original summary paragraph, this statement—“it is the obligation of every U.S. citizen, and *every other person residing in the United States*”—seems to indicate that persons not necessarily U.S. citizens might be conscripted as well, no doubt in return for the granting of citizenship, which is not unprecedented in this nation.

But I'm sure the illegals won't be real happy about that provision.

The bottom line: The draft legislation has not gone away. It has, in fact, been updated and expanded.

So why don't you ask your weasel Congressmen and Senators what's going on with H.R. 4752? Why don't you ask Big News why there are no stories about this?

If you get told it's a conspiracy theory, tell the vermin they can read it in black and white for themselves.

Oh, and yeah, do it before Election Day.

Then watch them squirm.