

The Trilateral Commission

In last week's article, I wrote about The Bilderberg Group, a somewhat mysterious organization that has dedicated itself for over a half-century to the laying of plans for global life after the death of the nation-state.

The Trilateral Commission is another global group with the same intentions. But, whereas the Bilderbergs have a European-North American slant, the Trilateralists' machinations have a leaning toward Asia.

On the TC website, www.trilateral.org, it is stated:

"The Trilateral Commission was formed in 1973 by private citizens of Japan, Europe (European Union countries), and North America (United States and Canada) to foster closer cooperation among these core democratic industrialized areas of the world with shared leadership responsibilities in the wider international system. Originally established for three years, our work has been renewed for successive triennia (three-year periods), most recently for a triennium to be completed in 2006."

This rhetoric may sound wonderful—and it's meant to—but it also cloaks another part of the conspiracy to rid the planet of sovereign nations and to bring all people under one-world rule and one-world religion, which will not be Christianity, Judaism, or Islam, but obedience and reverence to the global governing entity.

One may derive a sense of such a plan in the following paragraph excerpted from the TC website:

"The 'growing interdependence' that so impressed the founders of the Trilateral Commission in the early 1970s is deepening into 'globalization.' The need for shared thinking and leadership by the Trilateral countries, who (along with the principal international organizations) remain the primary anchors of the wider international system, has not diminished but, if anything, intensified. At the same time, their leadership must change to take into account the dramatic transformation of the international system. As relations with other countries become more mature—and power more diffuse—the leadership tasks of the original Trilateral countries need to be carried out with others to an increasing extent."

The main buzzwords are those that the writer of this section chose to place in quotations: *growing interdependence* and *globalization*.

Interdependence connotes cooperation and, no doubt, its usage in this sense is legitimate. But *interdependence* is also a buzzword used by the globalists to indicate a shifting away from the importance of individual nation-states toward, in the first phase, the forming of unions as we have seen in Europe and very quietly in Africa.

Globalists are behind schedule in creating the American Union because a number of South American countries understand what the AU is really about: the end of their autonomy and a seizure of their resources by the International Monetary Fund, whose loans to these nations can never be paid back.

This form of black mail is part of the *globalization* plan, which hides behind the concept that this world cannot do without restructured international trade agreements. But globalization is more a pretense for the elimination of borders and the overriding of each nation's laws with international standards to include global judiciary (or tribunals without trial by jury), legislative, and regulatory bodies.

One of the ominous signs that this movement is real and advancing is evidenced in the Free Trade of the Americas Act (FTAA), which, though promising much, delivers little, especially for the United States.

And if one considers our economic plight today, in light of all the great things the North American Free Trade Act was supposed to do for us, one has reason to believe that the FTAA is another bad deal for the U.S. wrapped in similarly glowing language.

An anti-FTAA website, www.stopftaa.org, says it best:

“The NAFTA experience demonstrates how basic labor rights and the interests of working families are eroded by ‘free trade’ agreements that lack enforceable labor protections. Corporations move high-paying jobs to countries with lower wages and bust unionization drives with threats to transfer production abroad. According to a study conducted under the auspices of NAFTA’s labor side agreement, 90 percent of 400 plant closings or threatened plant closings in the U.S. in a five-year period occurred illegally in the face of a union organizing drive. This ‘race-to-the-bottom’ will accelerate under FTAA as corporations pit exploited workers in Mexico against even more desperate workers in countries such as Haiti and Guatemala.

“‘Free trade’ is not working for the majority of the world. During the most recent period of rapid growth in global trade and investment—1960 to 1998—inequality worsened internationally and within countries. Without debt cancellation and rules to curtail rampant capital speculation, countries in the Global South will remain dependent on the Global North, inequality will increase, and the hope of achieving sustainable development will be farther off.”

Another buzzword being bandied about is *economic integration*. This is yet another high-sounding idiom whose real purpose, when each of its terms is analyzed, should raise a flag in the mind of U.S. citizens.

If a nation’s economy is integrated with that of others, then hasn’t the most critical determining element of a particular nation’s prosperity been compromised?

Or perhaps that’s the very point: That the more prosperous nations are drained of their wealth to “level the playing field” with the economically disadvantaged or underdeveloped nations in their union. Yet, by globalist design, those underprivileged nations will forever remain in that condition because this welfare-state dependence is necessary for their perpetual control.

Unfortunately too many of our legislators are offering little or no resistance. In fact, too many of them speak of globalization as does Florida Senator Bill Nelson, who, in a response to my protest of the FTAA, wrote [my response in brackets]:

“Global trade integration bolsters U.S. economic and political interests in a variety of ways. [And they are?] Movement toward freer markets promotes U.S. prosperity [How?] and values [How?] while strengthening democratic institutions [How?].”

These are empty words. Catch phrases with nothing to support them. This is the usual fare politicians serve up for you and me because, in their thinking, most of us have bubble gum for brains.

And, yeah, maybe most of us do.

However, no matter how great *interdependence*, *globalization*, and *economic integration* are made to sound, it’s nothing but window dressing for the redistribution of wealth, which is central to the ideal of global socialism.

Vyzygoth is a radio host persona. His identity is available by request at the news office. Send correspondence to vyzygoth@ij.net or readznews@aol.com. His radio show, “From The Grassy Knoll,” streams live Wednesday 5-7 pm on pascoradio.org. Archived shows can be accessed at vyzygoth.com.