

Fear and loathing in the West

In response to yet another comic-book scenario, we are to believe that the intelligence apparatus of both the United Kingdom and the United States thwarted a plan by terrorists to blow up commercial airliners through the secreting of liquid components that, when mixed onboard, would result in an explosive cocktail powerful enough to bring down the aircraft.

Joel Skousen, a nephew of W. Cleon Skousen, a former well-respected FBI official, wrote in his newsletter, *World Affairs Brief*:

“The supposed explosive device this time was a Peroxide-based explosive, which is mildly explosive and can be prepared from acetone, hydrogen Peroxide, and an acid catalyst. This type is claimed by governments to be ‘widely in use by terrorist groups,’ though we have no known terrorist events where it has been used, except by one Palestinian terrorist, and then as a detonator only—not as the main charge. This explosive material is usually known by its abbreviation TATP (Tri Acetone Tri Peroxide).

“Both Peroxide and Acetone are clear liquids, but acetone (laquer thinner) is easily identifiable by smell and its high rate of evaporation. Experts indicate it is very unstable and highly unlikely to be a stand-alone explosive to take down an airliner. The quantities would have to be large enough to be easily noticed. Thus, even though this is a theoretical threat, banning all cosmetics and lotions is stupid and banning all liquids is unnecessary. Only clear liquids need checking. There is cheap test equipment for TATP, and simple ways for TSA employees to quickly check for acetone and peroxide.”

The bombing plan we are told was sophisticated. How?

What’s so sophisticated about mixing amounts of liquid?

What does seem to need some degree of sophistication is the manner in which two or more adult-sized males are going to stuff themselves into an airplane bathroom to pull off their chemistry.

But this whole thing is a red herring.

What you’re not being told is that, while you and your baggage are screened, the plane’s cargo is not.

So why sweat the onboard subterfuge when a terrorist can send a sealed box or crate that will be loaded into the hold of an airplane without being screened.

I’m not giving anything away. The government knows this. The “terrorists” know this. But the cargo issue is neither mentioned nor defended against.

No, the government is too busy making the Little People play Simon-Says-Do-This.

The whole idea behind this latest airline freakout is to further condition us to accede to degrading inspections, behavior modification, and the presence of more military, since the National Guard, we are told, is going to be called out to help with the screening.

What a comfort to see all those soldiers everywhere.

Interestingly, though, the day before the plot was exposed, NBC’s *Today Show* broadcasted a segment that dealt with avoiding delays and baggage loss when traveling by air.

Yeah, it could be a coincidence. But, remember, that NBC is headquartered in Rockefeller Center. And this network and CBS—with its Luciferian all-seeing eye logo—are the biggest chirpers among the Illuminati-controlled media.

Another thing that came out of this freakout was the psychological-manipulation phrases parroted by all the “experts” paraded in front of the mainstream media cameras.

In true Orwellian fashion, they all stated in sum: “The plot would have been successful, if it hadn’t been thwarted.”

This is an interesting semantical word play for several reasons.

First, how can they extrapolate that the plot would’ve been successful? How do they know the capability of those involved? Are not the alleged perpetrators, like all humans, capable of fumbling?

The above statement is same as anyone claiming: “I would’ve won yesterday’s lottery, had I played.”

How do you know that?

But there’s a subtle Orwellian conditioning element in this statement. Analyze the sentence by separating its clauses.

The plot would have been successful instills fear in us. It creates the mindset that mass deaths were unavoidable. It makes the non-event as real as the tragedy in one’s mind.

If it hadn’t been thwarted creates a sense of security, relief, and a sense of gratitude toward our intelligence and security personnel and, in turn, makes us more willing to accept any measures put upon us.

So, with all the talk now about behavior sensing protocols, get ready for retina scanners, interrogations, polygraphs, and a whole lot more.

This bombing plot episode is about further control, making invasions of privacy an everyday occurrence and—considering how unattractive flying has become and how expensive gas is—inducing people not to travel. And restricted travel is a key component of totalitarianism.