

### The Original 50 Colonies – Part 3

When you hear the name *Rhodes*, most of you would link it to the scholarship offered at the University of Oxford in England.

And you would be correct.

Some of you might make the connection to the African nation *Rhodesia*, which has since been named Zimbabwe.

And you would be correct.

But perhaps very few of you would recognize *Rhodes* as Cecil Rhodes (1853-1902), who, utilizing his British South African Company, fomented the Boer War and reaped untold wealth by extracting the treasures of the diamond and gold mines he acquired as the spoils of Britain's costly victory.

Because of this largesse, Rhodes would leave seven huge wills, all of them dedicated to creating an Anglo-American order designed for world rule.

Far from alone in his vision, Rhodes, in 1891, enlisted the aide of three kindred spirits in his plan for such a world order.

According to the research done by Dr. Carroll Quigley, the former Georgetown professor who wrote *The Anglo-American Establishment: From Rhodes to Cliveden*, Rhodes selected "William T. Stead, the most famous journalist of the day . . . [and] . . . Reginald Baliol Brett, later known as Lord Esher, friend and confidant of Queen Victoria."

The third to join Rhodes' "Junta of Three" was Alfred Milner, later Lord Milner, who, after Rhodes' death, advanced his cause through the creation of "Milner's Kindergarten" (later known as the "Round Table Group"). Milner carried on the Rhodes' vision until 1925, when Lord Lothian resumed the work until his death in 1940.

Quigley stated that Rhodes and Milner "sought to unite world, and above all the English-speaking world, in a federal structure around Britain . . . Both felt that this goal could best be achieved by a secret band of men united to one another by devotion to the common cause . . . Both felt that this band should pursue its goal by secret political and economic influence behind the scenes . . ."

So, here, even before the twentieth century, you have powerful men planning to determine the future of the world "by secret political and economic influence behind the scenes."

But, through the deliberate lying and distortion of fact by mainstream media—owned by the very conspirators themselves—most people have been brainwashed into believing there are no conspiracies, or that conspiracies should be equated only with theories, not facts.

And this is the farthest thing from the truth as you will see, if you are willing to critically analyze what is happening free from the spin that both electronic and print news have applied to what we read, see, and hear.

What we are dealing with in this column, especially with the current examination of the movement toward global government, is a conspiracy of fact, not theory.

The way of the world was hinted at as far back as 1844, when Benjamin Disraeli—later to serve two reigns as British Prime Minister during which he brought India and the Suez Canal under the crown's control—wrote "the world is governed by very different personages from what is imagined by those who are not behind the scenes."

You can even go farther back in time to Shakespeare, who dealt with one of the world's best know conspiracies—the assassination of Julius Caesar—and who gave the world a wink

about that which goes bump in the night when he penned this line for Hamlet: “There are more things in heaven and earth, Horatio, than are dreamt of in your philosophy.”

Returning to Quigley’s research, Rhodes was very specific about how Milner and he would secretly bring about world government.

Quigley explained that the plan called for “a triple front penetration in politics, education, and journalism; (b) the recruitment of men of ability and the linking of these men . . . by matrimonial alliances and by gratitude for titles and positions of power; and (c) the influencing of public policy by placing members . . . in positions of power, shielded as much as possible from public attention.”

Rhodes knew that to make his plan for a new world order become a reality he needed the military might and the added wealth of the United States.

Quigley recounts that Rhodes, in his first will written in 1877, envisioned that British global rule could be achieved by “the perfecting of a system of emigration from the United Kingdom and of colonization by British subjects of all lands wherein the means of livelihood are attainable by energy, labour, and enterprise . . . [and] . . . the ultimate recovery the United States of America as an integral part of a British Empire . . .”

The British fighting capability had been damaged far too badly after the Boer War to believe the island nation could militarily enforce its *empire*, which, in 1948, the Crown decided to rename as the *Commonwealth of Nations*.

In both world wars, in which the U.S. had nothing at stake, Britain whistled for our servicemen and women when the Crown realized it couldn’t finish what it started. When I ask people why we got involved in those wars, I am told it was because Britain was our ally.

Okay. But what has the U.K. ever done for the U.S.?

Even now, during this supposed war on terror, who or what is the *coalition*? How many British troops have been dispatched to the Middle East compared to what our nation has sent?

The late Marine major general Smedley Darlington Butler, who was awarded two congressional medals of honor and a distinguished service medal, cut through all the high-blown rhetoric about why the U.S. got involved in WWI when he wrote in his seminal work, *War is a Racket*, that “When our boys were sent off to war they were told it was a ‘war to make the world safe for democracy’ and a ‘war to end all wars’ . . .”

“Well, eighteen years after, the world has less of democracy than it had then. Besides, what business is it of ours whether Russia or Germany or England or France or Italy or Austria live under democracies or monarchies? Whether they are Fascists or Communists? Our problem is to preserve our own democracy.”

Butler wrote this before 1935—the year of the book’s publishing. He obviously perceived, accurately so, that the world powers were arming for a second global conflagration.

The only winners in these massive bloodlettings were—and still are—the bankers, industrialists, and politicians, regardless of their party affiliations.

To this very day, brave men and women are being misused by governments to fatten the coffers of corporations and elitists.

The lie is that we are fighting elsewhere to preserve our own freedom, but the ugly truth is that the U.S. military has been highjacked for the purpose of spreading empire. In the book, *The Final Days*, Henry Kissinger expressed the true sentiment of politicians toward the military when he referred to them as “dumb, stupid animals to be used as pawns for foreign policy.”