

Brink of a New Age – Part Three

It's terrible to have to say this. World population must be stabilized and to do that we must eliminate 350,000 people per day. This is so horrible to contemplate that we shouldn't even say it. But the general situation in which we are involved is lamentable.

—Jacques Cousteau, in *UNESCO Courier*, November 1991

In the January 16, 1962, edition of *Look* magazine, Clare Booth Luce, former congresswoman, United States ambassador to Italy, and wife of *Time* magazine co-founder and Knight of Malta, Henry Luce, had perhaps the bleakest projection of what life would be like 25 years hence.

Her statement—echoing Cousteau's above—shouldn't be all that surprising given that, as an elitist, her feelings toward the common person was laced with repugnance. She, like the global elite, believed there is a need only for enough people—we slobs the elite so affectionately call *useless feeders*—to do the wet work for the elite.

So let's take a look at Luce's comments:

The demographers say that, if long-range preventative measures are not taken soon to deal with the population bomb, by the end of this century men will literally be squashing one another to death in the fearsome scramble for land, shelter, water and food.

This very day world population is less than 6.5 billion. The carrying capacity of the planet might very well handle nearly twice that number. But radical environmentalists and the ghouls who want as few folks around still are—and have been for over four decades—decrying that overpopulation is upon us and that we are facing doom.

Here we are, several years into the 21st century; nobody is squashing anyone, there is no scramble for land, shelter, water and food. This is a prime example of the hyped-up fear-mongering rhetoric these ghouls are famous for.

However, in the near future, all of these necessities will be available only at the most unconscionable cost—a deliberate control mechanism that is calculated to make the people plead to The State for help. And they will get it. It's called global socialism, Marxism, Communism. Use whatever term you feel most comfortable with.

Luce continues:

Legalized birth control, abortion, euthanasia, sterilization must then become part of every nation's domestic policy. Genocide, the systematic liquidation of peoples in the poorest and most defenseless lands, may be part of every country's foreign policy.

It's not enough that birth control and abortion have to be—and have been—legalized, the global ghouls want euthanasia—which is nothing less than justifiable mass homicide. This is why the Terri Schiavo case is disturbing. Did that ruling say, in effect, that if a judge deems a person's life bereft of quality that the person should be “put down?”

Remember, in most states, if you withhold nourishment and water from your pet, it's a felony. But it looks like it's okay to do it to a human being.

And what about mass sterilization? Isn't that a warm and fuzzy concept?

Yet this one along with birth control, abortion and euthanasia were finding a surprising following in the United States prior to the Second World War. This mentality scuttled away into the shadows when we in the U.S. realized what the Nazis were doing along these lines. Sadly, though, that mentality has returned with the backing of many in the social sciences.

Another ghoul who resonates with Luce is Aurelio Peccei, a Club of Rome founding member. In his 1969 Chicken-Little rant about the problems of overpopulation—entitled *The Chasm Ahead*—Peccei states that if some new germ or virus doesn't kill off enough people to reduce the global population by an acceptable percentage, then three other options might have to be advanced: 1) forced shifting of populations from one region to another; 2) mass sterilization; 3) the elimination of surplus humans.

Pleasant thoughts for all of us, aren't they?

But, understand, these are not my conspiracy "theories." What I have provided here, throughout this and other series, are the writings of those elites who have been—and as their heirs are currently—working toward the implementation of measures designed to bring about a Luciferian one-world government.

Regarding Luce's statement about genocide being a part of countries' foreign policy, I would submit for your consideration that every war we can remember had, as one of its target, a repressed people.

Hitler went after a number of ethnic peoples in Europe who were "the poorest and most defenseless." What people paid the biggest price in the Korean and Vietnam "wars?" Who's paying the biggest price in this current "War on Terror" being waged in the Middle East?

And, lastly, from Luce:

Looking down the other bore of this novel double-barreled threat is even more unpleasant. Pessimists say that mankind itself could perish in a nuclear war. Optimists limit the losses to about a billion people.

Once again, this sweetheart has nothing but the most ominous thoughts about the future. But between the two extremes Luce offers falls the most likely reality: There will be a controlled, limited nuclear war. This war—World War Three—will not subject all humanity to peril but will certainly lay waste to more than 1 billion people.

In the end, you see, it always comes down to the same thing with the global elite and those groups—like the idiot radical environmentalists whom they use as tools—and that is the presentation of problems they claim are insoluble, which calls for, in their schema, the one and only solution: justifiable mass homicide to save the planet and its peoples.

Let me get this straight: killing people to save people.

As the character Randle P. McMurphy said in Ken Kesey's classic *One Flew Over the Cuckoo's Nest*: "Doesn't make a bit of sense to me, Doc."

And if that isn't crazy enough, consider who are the ones who get to decide who lives and who dies?

It's that wicked little public school exercise *Lifeboat* played for real.