

Brink of a New Age – Part Two

Our attempt to forecast the coming World Order is framed then in an immense and increasing spectacle of constructive activity. We can anticipate a rapid transfiguration of the face of the earth as its population is distributed and re-distributed in accordance with the shifting requirements of economic production.

—H. G. Wells, *New World Order*, 1940

Referring again to that rather interesting Jan. 16, 1962, edition of *Look* magazine, you will find numerous predictions—or, perhaps, veiled warnings—about the world to come.

Many of the individuals who were interviewed for the two articles, “The Brink of a New Age” and “The Next 25 Years,” seemed to arrive at the same consensus: that the supposedly antithetical systems of capitalism and communism would combine to form a new economic thesis, one that might euphemistically be called “global socialism,” which actually is communism with a smiley face attached to it.

Remember, however, especially you twits who think socialism is a hot steaming deal, that the three greatest mass murderers of all time called themselves socialists: Adolph, Uncle Joe, and Mao.

In that 1962 issue of *Look*, Will Durant stated: *Although we are moving toward socialism, we are engaged in a merger of capitalism and socialism. I anticipate in Russia a growth of freedom and a diminution of regulation, and in America, I anticipate a growth of regulation a diminution of freedom.*

A most interesting “anticipation” by Durant because that is exactly what has happened. Russia—the laboratory in which the global elites tested communism—has been softened up the last 20 years, while we in America have witnessed expanding government and deeper involvement by The State in all sectors of our lives.

Walter Lippman: *I think the challenge and treat of communism is more like the rise of Islam, when it was a very militant fighting religion that advanced to Tours and the gates of Vienna. That’s more than the Communists have done yet. If this comparison is correct there will never be an end to it. The war between Christianity and Islam has quieted down, but never ended.*

Lippman might have spoken too soon about the spread of Communism, which solidified its hold on East Germany, Hungary, and Yugoslavia and very much approached the “gates of Vienna.”

But it’s interesting to note his remark about Christianity and Islam: that the “war” . . . “has quieted down, but never ended.”

Here we have the two classic bogeymen—Communism and Islam—that have been used for the last half-century to reign in our liberties in the name of the preservation of our security.

The Communists held the mantle from World War II until the late eighties when, that role was take over by radical Islam.

Now, were and are there Communists who wish to do the U.S. harm? Of course. Were and are there radical Islamists who wish to do the U.S. harm? Of course.

You must have a semblance of the real deal to strike some fear in the heart of your targets. But those radical cells—whether Commie or Muslim—have usually never known who was backing them.

And who was backing them?

Western bankers and industrialists. And they are the same factions that are presently supporting the insurgency in Iraq and Al Qaida, which was a creation of our own Central Intelligence Agency.

Gotta have bad guys, folks, or the military-industrial complex—which Eisenhower warned of in his parting speech—can't make lots of money for itself or its cronies.

Bottom line: There's no money in peace.

Finally, from Reverend James A. Pike, Episcopal Bishop of California: *We might choose to follow the course set by two groups in our society—the radical left and the radical right. Here, we have the appeasers, those who would surrender rather than stand. Here, also, we have those who, like the current John Birch Society, seem convinced that our free American way of life is not viable, that the only alternative to surrender lies in our own adoption of Communist methods.*

The mention of the radical left and radical right is noteworthy. Is this not the paradigm that is controlling our political structure today.

The radical left: the social Democrats whose role it is to play caretaker and benefactor of the masses, always ready to expand the social welfare state in fine Rooseveltian fashion.

The radical right: the war-mongering NeoCons, weaned on the NeoMachivellian/Straussian school of elitism and deception, who wish to wage endless war for endless peace. These creatures would make Trotsky proud.

In both of our political parties, therefore, we have the necessary elements of Communism as stated above. And these supposedly opposing factions of ideologues, through their phony infighting and bickering, are bringing the U.S. slowly but surely into a Communist/Statist political and cultural environment.